

Project Name	Histon Road (HR) LLF Meeting		
Venue	St Luke's Church, Victoria Road, Cambridge		
Date	8th August 2016	Time	18:00 – 20:00
Attendees	<p>Councillors on the Histon Road Local Liaison Forum Mike Todd-Jones, Chair, City Council, Arbury John Hipkin, City Council, Castle Charlotte Perry, City Council, Arbury Carina O'Reilly, City Council David Jenkins, County Council, Cottenham, Histon & Impington Mike Mason, County Council, Cottenham, Histon & Impington.</p> <p>From Cambridgeshire County Council Bob Menzies, Service Director: Structure & Development Richard Preston, Project Manager: Histon & Milton schemes Brian Stinton, Team Leader: Major Infrastructure Delivery Molly Robertson, Note Taker.</p>		
Apologies	Cllr Paul Sales, County Council, Arbury		
Purpose of Meeting	Local Liaison Forum (LLF)		

	Agenda Item	Key Points discussed	Resolution
1	Minutes and matters arising	Query about quorum rules	Not a formal meeting: no formal quorum required
2	Election of Vice Chair	Lilian Rundblad of Histon Road Area Residents' Association is nominated for Vice Chair	Lilian is confirmed as Vice Chair
3	Confirmation of Terms of Reference	Discussion of briefing note point on remit of the LLF. Further debate around the meaning of 'design', and what happens when public/groups disagree with the remit. Chair asks everyone to refer to the LLF website for Terms of Reference.	Any issues with the ToR to be discussed at future LLFs, due to a lack of printouts.
4	Co-option of members	Lilian Rundblad; Histon Road Area Residents' Association Anna Crutchley; Benson Residents' Association Ann Mullinger / Mary Wheeler; Windsor Residents' Association Dave Warren; Bermuda Flats Residents' Association Vivek Iyer, Darwin Erasmus Akeman Residents' Association.	Are all confirmed to be Co-opted as members of the LLF Histon, Benson & Windsor RA's do not endorse the 'do maximum' option through their involvement with the LLF.

5	LLF Programme	Richard Preston talks through proposed LLF program content including a range of workshops looking at design, key elements of the scheme & mitigation measures.	LLF Programme document on CD website to be revised following LLF discussion
6	Scheme Review; Identification of Key Scheme Elements for Review	Discussion on proposals for Design Workshops and Scheme Review Workshops. Public concern expressed alongside further discussion on the principles of the City Deal and the scope of the LLFs. There was a consensus that this is not a process which can be endorsed. The Chair reiterated that the LLF was a process whereby current proposal design details could be influenced through the Design Workshops and that key scheme element alternatives could be suggested through the Scheme Review Workshops. Those who wished to challenge the principles behind the City Deal and propose a fundamentally different approach could do so through the City Deal Assembly and Board and by contributing to the next round of public consultation.	
7	Design Workshop Programme	<p>Discussion on the time & date of workshops</p> <p>Workshops will include Design Workshops looking at the detail of the current proposals, and Scheme Review Workshops looking at alternative proposals.</p> <p>Suggestion to include 50 participants at workshops to keep it manageable, including:</p> <p>Name / Number of places available LLF Councillors: 12 Histon Road Area RA: 2 Windsor Road RA: 2 Benson Road Area RA: 2 Bermuda Flats RA: 2 Darwin Erasmus Akeman RA: 2 Federation of Cambridge Residents' Associations (FeCRA): 1 Local Traders and Businesses: 4 Mayfield Primary School: 1 Chesterton Community College: 1 St. Luke's Primary School: 1 North Cambridge Academy: 1 Disability Panel: 1 Richard Newcombe Court (Cambridge Housing Society - CHS Group): 1 Sustrans: 1 Cambridge Cycling Campaign: 1 Cambridge Association of Architects / 5th Studio: 1 Local police: 1</p>	The first workshops likely in late September, date and time to be notified.

		<p>Smarter Cambridge Transport: 1 Stagecoach: 1 Cambridge Past Present and Future: 1 Orchard Park Community Council: 2 (1 rep + substitute also to be invited as co-opted member onto the LLF itself) Oxford Road RA: 2 (1 rep + substitute also to be invited as co-opted member onto the LLF itself) Richmond Road RA: 2 (1 rep + substitute also to be invited as co-opted member onto the LLF itself) Arbury Primary School: 1 Orchard Park Primary School: 1 TOTAL: 49</p> <p>Questions arise over whether the following should be invited: Other Residents' Associations Darwin Green Development rep. Other Colleges Business Parks WSP Engineer</p>	<p>If further Residents' Associations are fully formed and present themselves, they will be considered</p> <p>A WSP Engineer will be present</p>
<p>8</p>	<p>Public Questions</p>	<p>Questions asked covered these topics: -Why alternatives haven't been offered after negative feedback from the first round of consultation</p> <p>-Public access to traffic modelling</p> <p>-How saving 2 min. on a journey can help the main objective of eliminating congestion, including whether a P&R would be a better idea</p> <p>-Whether a designer / urban planner was on the team who developed the scheme</p> <p>-Request that the public's discontent should be relayed to the City Deal Board</p> <p>-Declaration of interests of those Co-opted to LLF Board</p>	<p>Bob Menzies replied that the City Deal has to balance local concerns, other interests, and strategic interests.</p> <p>Traffic modelling evidence will be provided.</p> <p>In conjunction with other schemes, amount of time saved could increase</p> <p>Bob Menzies confirms that designers WSP were involved</p> <p>Mike Todd-Jones to forward minutes/details of meeting to the City Deal Board</p> <p>Mike Todd-Jones states it's not</p>

		<p>-How constructive ideas, such as the need for a pedestrian crossing for Histon Road near the Borrowdale footpath access, can be implemented now rather than when the scheme is completed.</p> <p>-What to do if you disagree with the proposals</p> <p>-Proposal to discuss alternative plans at workshops</p>	<p>appropriate</p> <p>Charlotte Perry stated that plans for this crossing were discarded due to technical difficulties. Mike Todd-Jones explained that a crossing on this location could still be incorporated into the Histon Road proposals.</p> <p>Write questions/ complaints & submit to the Board & Assembly</p> <p>Bob Menzies says he fully accepts that people are not endorsing the scheme, but may want to make constructive suggestions</p>
9	Any Other Business	No other business was discussed	
10	Date of Next Meeting	The date of the next meeting is to be determined once the Workshop programme is confirmed.	

Appendix

Histon Road LLF Residents Statement

Histon Road Local Liaison Forum August 8, 2016

Statement from Residents Association representatives

Lilian Rundblad, Histon Road Area Residents Association HRARA and

Anna Crutchley, Benson Area Residents Association

Mary Wheater, Windsor Road Residents Association

In accepting co-option on to the Histon Road LLF, the Histon Road Area, the Benson Area and the Windsor Road Residents Associations are not to be taken as endorsing the "Do Maximum" Histon Road proposal and its terms, including acceptance of bus-lanes at the expense of front yards, trees and verges. The residents associations are willing to participate in the Local Liaison Forum and contribute local knowledge and experience to its workshops on this understanding.